

## REMARKS

### Drawing Corrections

The Examiner objected to the drawings in the specification because reference numerals 53 and 37 do not correspond in either the specification or the drawings. Applicant has amended the drawings in both cases. Applicant, accordingly, respectfully requests withdrawal of the objections to the drawings and specification.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections and Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang, et al., but indicated that claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has amended claim 1 with the limitations of claims 3 and 4, and has cancelled claims 3 and 4. Applicant submits that claim 1 is now in a condition for allowance.

The Examiner's allowance of claims 5-17 is noted with appreciation.


Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite or assist in the allowance of the present application, the Examiner is invited to call Stephen M. De Klerk at (408) 720-8300.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overages to Deposit Account  
No. 02-2666. Any necessary extension of time for response not already requested  
is hereby requested. Please charge any corresponding fee to Deposit Account  
No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: July 21, 2005

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen M. De Klerk  
Reg. No. 46,503

12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8300

IN THE DRAWINGS

Applicant submits replacement Sheet Nos. 1 and 2 containing Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4, and reflecting corrections made to Figures 1 and 3.